

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/698,215	10/31/2003	John A. Baumann	BING-1-1028 1498	
7590 01/18/2006			EXAMINER	
Mark S. Beaufait, Esq.			WATSON, ROBERT C	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM PLLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 4800 701 Fifth Avenue			3723	
Seattle, WA 98104			DATE MAIL ED. 01/19/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,215	BAUMANN, JOHN A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert C. Watson	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 De	ecember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,8,11,12,14,15,21,25,26,29-31,40-42,44,45 and 52-55</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers	4					
<u> </u>		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	,	(-) (-)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/05.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 3,5,6,9,10,13,16-20,22-24,27,28,32-39,43,46-51 and 56-60.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,215

Art Unit: 3723

Applicant is advised that the claims submitted on 12/5/05 do not contain a claim

23. Applicant is required to consecutively renumber the claims to correct this error.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 40, 41 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reyner.

Reyner shows force applying units 29, coupling units 11, and coupling unit pivots 27. The coupling unit pivots conform to the shape of the workpiece.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 12, 21, 30, 42, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reyner in view of Snyder.

The coupling units of Reyner are not lockable.

Snyder teaches that coupling units may have lockable pivots (22,23) comprising a ball and socket.

To make the coupling unit pivots of Reyner lockable and also to comprise a ball and socket would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/698,215

Art Unit: 3723

was made in view of the disclosure of Snyer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a more stable clamping structure.

Claims 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 25, 26, 29, 31, 44, 45, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reyner in view of Snyder as above applied and further in view of Smith.

Smith teaches that a lockable pivot may be actuated externally by pneumatic means using a solenoid (column 8, line 15).

To actuate the lockable pivots supra externally by pneumatic means using a solenoid would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Smith. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to reduce manual effort in using the clamp arrangement. Whether the force applying means is pneumatic or an electric operated screw is no more than an obvious matter of design choice absent a showing of criticality for this feature.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reyner in view of Douglas.

The Reyner force applying means is manually operated.

Douglas teaches that a force applying means may be powered.

To employ powered force applying means in Reyner would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Douglas. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to reduce manual effort in using the clamping arrangement.

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 3, 5-6, 9-10, 13, 16-20, 22-24, 27-28, 32-39, 43, 46-51, 56-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/5/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINED